

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/747,715	12/26/2003	Michael Christopher Montalto	133658-2	5857	
6147	7590 12/14/200		EXAMINER		
·	ELECTRIC COMP	JONES, DAMERON LEVEST			
GLOBAL RI PATENT DO	ESEARCH OCKET RM. BLDG. k	1-4A59	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NISKAYUN	A, NY 12309	1618			

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/747,71	5	MONTALTO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		D. L. Jones		1618				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no even the control of the control attition of the control of the co	S COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this on (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
· <u> </u>		 This action is no	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo			secution as to the	merits is			
.—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖾	8) Claim(s) 1-57 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	-		d in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
3	ee the attached detailed Office action for a l	iist of the certifi	ed copies not receive	u.				
A44 - 1-	Wal							
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary ((DTO: 412)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/747,715

Art Unit: 1618

RESTRICTION INTO GROUPS

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- Group (1) Claims 1-7 and 12, drawn to <u>non-labeled</u> compounds of independent claim 1 wherein X is oxygen, classified in class 549, subclass 200.
- Group (2) Claims 1, 2, and 7, drawn to <u>non-labeled</u> compounds of independent claim 1 wherein X is nitrogen, classified in class 540, subclass 1.
- Group (3) Claims 1, 2, and 7, drawn to <u>non-labeled</u> compounds of independent claim 1 wherein X is sulfur, classified in class 549, subclass 1.
- Group (4) Claims 1-26, drawn to <u>radiolabeled</u> compounds of independent claim 1 wherein X is oxygen, classified in class 424, subclass 1.65.
- Group (5) Claims 1, 2, 7-11, 17, 18, and 23-26, drawn to <u>radiolabeled</u> compounds of independent claim 1 wherein X is nitrogen, classified in class 424, subclass 1.65.
- Group (6) Claims 1, 2, 7-11, 17, 18, and 23-26, drawn to <u>radiolabeled</u> compounds of independent claim 1 wherein X is sulfur, classified in class 424, subclass 1.65.
- Group (7) Claims 27-38, drawn to a method of detecting at least one A-beta species wherein X is oxygen, classified in class 424, subclass 9.1.
- Group (8) Claims 27, 28, and 33-38, drawn to a method of detecting at least one A-beta species wherein X is nitrogen, classified in class 424, subclass 9.1.
- Group (9) Claims 27, 28, and 33-38, drawn to a method of detecting at least one A-beta species wherein X is sulfur, classified in class 424, subclass 9.1.

Art Unit: 1618

Group (10) Claims 39-48, drawn to a method of assessing an amyloid related disease wherein X is oxygen, classified in class 424, subclass 9.2.

Group (11) Claims 39-48, drawn to a method of assessing an amyloid related disease wherein X is nitrogen, classified in class 424, subclass 9.2.

Group (12) Claims 39-48, drawn to a method of assessing an amyloid related disease wherein X is sulfur, classified in class 424, subclass 9.2.

Group (13) Claims 49-57, drawn to a method of evaluating the effectiveness of therapy wherein X is oxygen, classified in class 424, subclass 9.1.

Group (14) Claims 49-57, drawn to a method of evaluating the effectiveness of therapy wherein X is nitrogen, classified in class 424, subclass 9.1.

Group (15) Claims 49-57, drawn to a method of evaluating the effectiveness of therapy wherein X is sulfur, classified in class 424, subclass 9.1.

Note: Claims appearing in more than one Group will only be examined to the extent that they read on the elected invention.

2. Groups (1), (2), and (3) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP 806.04, MPEP 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions while all encompassed under the very broad formula disclosed in independent claim 1 are unrelated because the formula contains the variable X. For example, X may form a 5-membered ring containing

oxygen, nitrogen, or sulfur which classify in difference classes and/or subclasses.

Hence, distinct groups of compounds are generated that are structurally unrelated to one another. As a result, a separate search of the art is necessary and such a search would impose a burden on the Examiner to search.

3. Groups (4 and 7), (4 and 10), (4 and 13), (5 and 8), (5 and 11), (5 and 14), (6 and 9), (6 and 12), and (6 and 15) are related as product and process and use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using the product (MPEP 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the products of Group (4) may be used in one of the methods of Groups (7), (10), or (13) above. The products of Group (5) may be used in one of the methods of Groups (8), (11), or (14) above. The products of Group (6) may be used in one of the methods of Groups (9), (12), and (15) above. Thus, each of the inventions is directed to products having distinct characteristics which may be used in a different process (e.g., in a method of detecting at least one A-beta species; a method of assessing an amyloid related disease; or a method of evaluating the effectiveness of therapy). Hence, a separate search of the art is necessary for each group and such a search would impose a serious burden on the Examiner to search even though some of the inventions classify in the same area.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,715 Page 5

Art Unit: 1618

4. The inventions are distinct from each other for the reasons set forth above.

Hence, since these inventions are distinct and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

REJOINDER PARAGRAPH

5. The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentably product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See

Application/Control Number: 10/747,715

Art Unit: 1618

"Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Page 6

ELECTION OF SPECIES

6. Claims 1-57 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising various aromatic structures comprising a conjugated 6-membered carbon containing ring and a 5-membered ring optionally containing a nitrogen, oxygen, or sulfur atom. In addition, the aromatic structure is optionally radiolabeled. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Note: Applicant is respectfully requested to elect a single disclose species from within the elected group. In addition, Applicant is respectfully requested to identify all of the variables (R1 and R2) associated with the elected species and the radiolabel, if applicable to the elected group.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,715 Page 7

Art Unit: 1618

7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. Due to the complexity of the restriction requirement, a telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. L. Jones whose telephone number is (571) 272-0617. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:45 a.m. 3:15 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/747,715 Page 8

Art Unit: 1618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1618

December 5, 2005